

# Radiative Decays of Decuplet Baryons, $\Lambda(1405)$ and $\Lambda(1520)$ Hyperons

Lang Yu, Xiao-Lin Chen, Wei-Zhen Deng,<sup>\*</sup> and Shi-Lin Zhu<sup>†</sup>  
*Department of Physics, Peking University, Beijing 100871, China*

The chiral quark model gives a reasonably good description of many low-energy observables by incorporating the effective degrees carried by the constituent quarks and Goldstone bosons. We calculate the decuplet to octet transition magnetic moments and the decay widths of several excited hyperons using this model. The various radiative decay widths from the chiral quark roughly agree with experimental data including the recent JLAB measurement.

PACS numbers: 12.39.Fe, 13.30.Ce, 13.40.Em, 13.40.Hq, 14.20.-c

## I. INTRODUCTION

The radiative decays of baryons contribute enormously to our understanding of the underlying structure of baryons. The non-relativistic quark model (NRQM) of Isgur and Karl [1, 2] has been successful in predicting the electromagnetic properties of the ground states of baryons  $N$  and their resonances  $N^*$ . However, it is unable to give a very good description of radiative decays of all decuplet and other low-lying excited-state hyperons. Therefore, several other theoretical approaches have been proposed to calculate these transitions besides (NRQM)[3, 4], including the relativized constituent quark model (RCQM) [5], the MIT bag model [3], the chiral bag model [6], the Skyrme model [7], the soliton model [8], the algebraic model [9], the heavy baryon chiral perturbation theory (HB $\chi$ PT) [10], the  $1/N_c$  expansion of QCD [11] and the lattice calculations [12].

Recently, one JLAB experiment [13] reported some new results of the radiative decays of the  $\Sigma^0(1385)$  and  $\Lambda(1520)$ , suggesting that mesonic effects may play an important role in  $\Sigma^0(1385)$  radiative transitions [14]. On the other hand, a series of interesting work about the chiral quark model [15, 16, 17, 18] indicates that the constituent quarks and internal Goldstone bosons can offer an adequate description of flavor and spin structure of baryons in the low  $Q^2 \lesssim 1 \text{ GeV}^2$  region. Within the same framework, the octet and decuplet magnetic moments,  $\Sigma\Lambda$  transition magnetic moments and the explanation of the violation of the Coleman-Glashow sum rule are in remarkably good agreement with experimental data [19, 20]. So it is interesting to explore whether we can make reliable predictions of other important observables using the chiral quark model.

In this paper we calculate radiative decays of decuplet to octet and some excited hyperons within the chiral model incorporating quark sea perturbatively generated by the valence quark's emission of internal Goldstone bosons. We can discern the contributions from sea quarks and pseudoscalar mesons through the results of transition magnetic moments and decay widths.

In Section II, we give an essential review of the chiral quark model and the mechanism for the quark sea generation. In Section III, we present the formalism of the helicity amplitudes for the baryon radiative decays. In Section IV, we present several typical cases of the calculation of decuplet to octet transition magnetic moments. Then we calculate the radiative decay widths of several excited hyperons in V. The numerical results and conclusions are presented in the final section.

## II. MODEL DESCRIPTION

The chiral quark model [15, 16, 17, 18] is an effective theory of non-perturbative QCD, which is based on the interaction between constituent quarks and Goldstone bosons. The basic process is the emission of an internal Goldstone boson by a valence quark :

$$q_+ \longrightarrow GB + q'_- \longrightarrow (q\bar{q}') + q'_-, \quad (1)$$

or,

$$q_+ \longrightarrow GB + q'_+ \longrightarrow (q\bar{q}') + q'_+, \quad (2)$$

---

<sup>\*</sup>Electronic address: dwz@th.phy.pku.edu.cn

<sup>†</sup>Electronic address: zhusl@th.phy.pku.edu.cn

where the subscripts indicate the helicity of the quark, and  $[GB, q']$  is in the helicity-flipping state ( $\langle l_z \rangle = +1$ ) in the process (1), and in the helicity-nonflipping state ( $\langle l_z \rangle = 0$ ) in the process (2). The quark may change its helicity and flavor content by emitting a pseudoscalar meson, and  $(q\bar{q}') + q'$  constitute the quark sea [18, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25]. Thus, we consider the valence constituent quark and the generated quark sea as a CQ-system [25]. Moreover, the probability for the fluctuation of the  $q\bar{q}$  pairs is small because of the heavy mass of quarks in the  $Q^2 \lesssim 1 \text{ GeV}^2$  range. In other words, the interaction is perturbative [18, 21, 22, 25]. Therefore, the effective Lagrangian describing the interaction between constituent quarks and internal Goldstone bosons can be expressed as follows,

$$\mathcal{L}_I = -g_8 \bar{q} i \gamma^5 \Phi q, \quad (3)$$

$$\Phi = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\pi^0 + \beta\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}\eta + \zeta\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}\eta' & \pi^+ & \alpha K^+ \\ \pi^- & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\pi^0 + \beta\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}\eta + \zeta\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}\eta' & \alpha K^0 \\ \alpha K^- & \alpha \bar{K}^0 & -\beta\frac{2}{\sqrt{6}}\eta + \zeta\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}\eta' \end{pmatrix}, \quad (4)$$

where  $q = (u, d, s)$ ,  $g_1$  and  $g_8$  denote the coupling constants for the singlet and octet Goldstone bosons respectively, and  $\zeta = g_1/g_8$ . Besides,  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are introduced by considering SU(3) symmetry breaking due to  $M_s > M_{u,d}$  [21, 22, 23, 24], whereas  $\zeta$  is introduced by considering the axial U(1) symmetry breaking [18, 21, 22, 23, 24]. Then, the transition probability for the process  $q \rightarrow GB + q'$  can be easily deduced. For example,  $P(u \rightarrow d + \pi^+) = a(a = |g_8|^2)$ ,  $P(u \rightarrow s + K^+) = \alpha^2 a$ ,  $P(u \rightarrow u + \pi^0) = \frac{1}{2}a$ ,  $P(u \rightarrow u + \eta) = \frac{1}{6}\beta^2 a$ ,  $P(u \rightarrow u + \eta') = \frac{1}{3}\zeta^2 a$  etc. Furthermore, because the total angular momentum space wave function of the  $[GB, q']$  state is

$$|J = \frac{1}{2}, J_z = \frac{1}{2}\rangle = \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}|L = 1, L_z = 1\rangle|S = \frac{1}{2}, S_z = -\frac{1}{2}\rangle - \sqrt{\frac{1}{3}}|L = 1, L_z = 0\rangle|S = \frac{1}{2}, S_z = \frac{1}{2}\rangle, \quad (5)$$

the transition probability ratio of the process (1) to the process (2) is 2 : 1. Therefore, for example,  $P(u_+ \rightarrow d_- + \pi^+) = \frac{2}{3}a$ , and  $P(u_+ \rightarrow d_+ + \pi^+) = \frac{1}{3}a$ .

In order to obtain the spin-flavor structure of the baryon, we write the number operator  $\mathcal{N}(j)$  [20, 24],

$$\mathcal{N}(j) = \hat{n}_{u_+}(j)u_+ + \hat{n}_{u_-}(j)u_- + \hat{n}_{d_+}(j)d_+ + \hat{n}_{d_-}(j)d_- + \hat{n}_{s_+}(j)s_+ + \hat{n}_{s_-}(j)s_-, \quad (6)$$

where  $\mathcal{N}(j)$  operates only on the  $j$ th quark,  $\hat{n}_{q_\pm}$  corresponds to the number operator of the  $q_\pm$ . Thus, the spin-flavor structure of a baryon can be defined as

$$\hat{B} = \sum_{j=1}^3 \langle B | \mathcal{N}(j) | B \rangle. \quad (7)$$

Using the symmetry of the baryon wave function, we can simplify the equation above,

$$\hat{B} = \sum_{j=1}^3 \langle B | \mathcal{N}(j) | B \rangle = 3 \langle B | \mathcal{N}(3) | B \rangle, \quad (8)$$

where  $|B\rangle$  is the baryon wave function. Taking proton for example and making use of the baryon  $SU(6) \otimes O(3)$  wave function [26], we get

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{p} &= \frac{5}{3}u_+ + \frac{1}{3}u_- + \frac{1}{3}d_+ + \frac{2}{3}d_- \\ &= \frac{4}{3}u_+ - \frac{1}{3}d_+. \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

Throughout our calculation, we make use of  $q_- = -q_+$ .

Furthermore, by considering the effects of  $q \rightarrow GB + q'$ , we need modify the baryon's spin-flavor structure above. What we do is to make a replacement of every valence quark  $q_\pm$  in the equation  $\hat{B}$  as follows [20, 24],

$$\begin{aligned} q_\pm &\rightarrow (1 - \sum_{q'=u,d,s} P_{(q \rightarrow q')})q_\pm + \frac{1}{3} \sum_{q'=u,d,s} P_{(q \rightarrow q')}(2q'_\mp + q'_\pm) + \frac{2}{3} \sum_{q'=u,d,s} P_{(q \rightarrow q')}(q'_{\langle l_{GB}^{\pm} \rangle} + GB_{\langle l_{GB}^{\mp} \rangle}) \\ &\rightarrow (1 - \sum_{q'=u,d,s} P_{(q \rightarrow q')})q_\pm + \frac{1}{3} \sum_{q'=u,d,s} P_{(q \rightarrow q')}q'_\mp + \frac{2}{3} \sum_{q'=u,d,s} P_{(q \rightarrow q')}(q'_{\langle l_{GB}^{\pm} \rangle} + GB_{\langle l_{GB}^{\mp} \rangle}) \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

where  $P_{(q \rightarrow q')}$  denotes the transition probability of the process  $q \rightarrow GB + q'$ ,  $q'_{\langle l_{q\pm}^{GB} \rangle}$  and  $GB_{\langle l_{GB}^{q\pm} \rangle}$  denote that the orbit angular momenta of the  $q'$  and GB are  $\langle l_{q\pm}^{GB} \rangle$  and  $\langle l_{GB}^{q\pm} \rangle$  respectively (We neglect the item of  $\langle l_z \rangle = 0$  in the orbit angular momenta part, it does no contribution to the magnetic moments). And  $\langle l_{q\pm}^{GB} \rangle = \frac{M_{GB}}{M_{q'} + M_{GB}} \langle l_z \rangle$ ,  $\langle l_{GB}^{q\pm} \rangle = \frac{M_{q'}}{M_{q'} + M_{GB}} \langle l_z \rangle$  (when  $q'_-, \langle l_z \rangle = +1$ ; when  $q'_+, \langle l_z \rangle = -1$ ). In the equation (10), the first term still corresponds to the valence quark spin. The latter two terms are both from the contribution of quark sea. The second term corresponds to the sea quark spin and the last term corresponds to the orbit angular momenta between the sea quark and the Goldstone boson. We take proton for example,

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{p} = & \frac{4}{3} \{ [1 - a(\frac{9 + \beta^2 + 2\zeta^2}{6} + \alpha^2)] u_+ + \frac{1}{3} [a(\frac{3 + \beta^2 + 2\zeta^2}{6}) u_- + ad_- + a\alpha^2 s_-] + \frac{2}{3} [a(\frac{1}{2})(u_{\langle l_{u-}^{\pi^0} \rangle} + \pi_{\langle l_{\pi^0}^{u-} \rangle}^0) \\ & + a(\frac{\beta^2}{6})(u_{\langle l_{u-}^{\eta} \rangle} + \eta_{\langle l_{\eta}^{u-} \rangle}) + a(\frac{\zeta^2}{3})(u_{\langle l_{u-}^{\eta'} \rangle} + \eta_{\langle l_{\eta'}^{u-} \rangle}) + a(d_{\langle l_{d-}^{\pi^+} \rangle} + \pi_{\langle l_{\pi^+}^{d-} \rangle}^+) + a\alpha^2(s_{\langle l_{s-}^{K^+} \rangle} + K_{\langle l_{K^+}^{s-} \rangle}^+)] \} \\ & - \frac{1}{3} \{ [1 - a(\frac{9 + \beta^2 + 2\zeta^2}{6} + \alpha^2)] d_+ + \frac{1}{3} [a(\frac{3 + \beta^2 + 2\zeta^2}{6}) d_- + au_- + a\alpha^2 s_-] + \frac{2}{3} [a(\frac{1}{2})(d_{\langle l_{d-}^{\pi^0} \rangle} + \pi_{\langle l_{\pi^0}^{d-} \rangle}^0) \\ & + a(\frac{\beta^2}{6})(d_{\langle l_{d-}^{\eta} \rangle} + \eta_{\langle l_{\eta}^{d-} \rangle}) + a(\frac{\zeta^2}{3})(d_{\langle l_{d-}^{\eta'} \rangle} + \eta_{\langle l_{\eta'}^{d-} \rangle}) + a(u_{\langle l_{u-}^{\pi^0} \rangle} + \pi_{\langle l_{\pi^0}^{u-} \rangle}^-) + a\alpha^2(s_{\langle l_{s-}^{K^0} \rangle} + K_{\langle l_{K^0}^{s-} \rangle}^0)] \} \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

In the next section, we need calculate the spin polarizations of the quark, following Refs.[18, 19, 20, 22, 24], which are defined as

$$\Delta q = n_{q+} - n_{q-}, \quad (12)$$

where  $n_{q+}$  and  $n_{q-}$  can be calculated from the equation  $\hat{B}$ . In this way, the expressions for proton can be obtained as follows,

$$\Delta u^{val} = \frac{4}{3} \quad (13)$$

$$\Delta d^{val} = -\frac{1}{3} \quad (14)$$

$$\Delta u^{sea} = -a(\frac{57 + 8\beta^2 + 16\zeta^2}{27} + \frac{4}{3}\alpha^2), \quad (15)$$

$$\Delta d^{sea} = a(\frac{6 + 4\beta^2 + 8\zeta^2}{54} + \frac{1}{3}\alpha^2), \quad (16)$$

$$\Delta s^{sea} = -\frac{a\alpha^2}{3}, \quad (17)$$

Similarly, we can define  $\Delta q_{GB}^{orbit}$  and  $\Delta GB_q^{orbit}$  as

$$\Delta q_{GB}^{orbit} = n_{q'_{\langle l_{q-}^{GB} \rangle}} - n_{q'_{\langle l_{q+}^{GB} \rangle}}, \quad (18)$$

$$\Delta GB_q^{orbit} = n_{GB_{\langle l_{GB}^{q-} \rangle}} - n_{GB_{\langle l_{GB}^{q+} \rangle}}, \quad (19)$$

Thus, we get the expressions for proton,

$$\Delta u_{\pi^0}^{orbit} = \frac{4}{9}a, \quad \Delta \pi_u^{0orbit} = \frac{4}{9}a \quad (20)$$

$$\Delta u_{\eta}^{orbit} = \frac{4\beta^2}{27}a, \quad \Delta \eta_u^{orbit} = \frac{4\beta^2}{27}a \quad (21)$$

$$\Delta u_{\eta'}^{orbit} = \frac{8\zeta^2}{27}a, \quad \Delta \eta_u'^{orbit} = \frac{8\zeta^2}{27}a \quad (22)$$

$$\Delta d_{\pi^+}^{orbit} = \frac{8}{9}a, \quad \Delta \pi_d^{+orbit} = \frac{8}{9}a \quad (23)$$

$$\Delta s_{K^+}^{orbit} = \frac{8\alpha^2}{9}a, \quad \Delta K_s^{+orbit} = \frac{8\alpha^2}{9}a \quad (24)$$

$$\Delta d_{\pi^0}^{orbit} = -\frac{1}{9}a, \quad \Delta \pi_d^{0orbit} = -\frac{1}{9}a \quad (25)$$

$$\Delta d_{\eta}^{orbit} = -\frac{\beta^2}{27}a, \quad \Delta \eta_d^{orbit} = -\frac{\beta^2}{27}a \quad (26)$$

$$\Delta d_{\eta'}^{orbit} = -\frac{2\zeta^2}{27}a, \quad \Delta \eta_d'^{orbit} = -\frac{2\zeta^2}{27}a \quad (27)$$

$$\Delta u_{\pi^-}^{orbit} = -\frac{2}{9}a, \quad \Delta \pi_u^{-orbit} = -\frac{2}{9}a \quad (28)$$

$$\Delta s_{K^0}^{orbit} = -\frac{2\alpha^2}{9}a, \quad \Delta K_s^{0orbit} = -\frac{2\alpha^2}{9}a \quad (29)$$

### III. HELICITY AMPLITUDES OF RADIATIVE DECAYS

In addition, we give a short review of the helicity amplitude in order to calculate radiative decay widths [26, 27]. In case of the process  $B_i \longrightarrow B_f + \gamma$ ,

$$A_M = -e\sqrt{2\pi/k}\langle B_f, J_z = M-1 | \varepsilon^* \cdot \sum_{i=1}^3 j_{em}(i) | B_i, J_z = M \rangle \quad M = \frac{3}{2}, \frac{1}{2} \quad (30)$$

where  $\mathbf{k}$  and  $\varepsilon$  are the momentum and polarization vector of the photon, and  $j_{em}(i)$  is the  $i$ th quark current density. Without loss of generality, we take the photon to be right-handed [ $\varepsilon = -1/\sqrt{2}(1, i, 0)$ ] and expand  $\varepsilon^* \cdot \sum_{i=1}^3 j_{em}(i)$ ,

$$\varepsilon^* \cdot \sum_{i=1}^3 j_{em}(i) = -\sqrt{2} \sum_{i=1}^3 \frac{e}{2m_i} [e^{-ikz(i)} q(i)] [k\sigma_-(i) + (p_x(i) - ip_y(i))] . \quad (31)$$

With the symmetry of the baryon wave function, we can simplify the above equation,

$$\varepsilon^* \cdot \sum_{i=1}^3 j_{em}(i) = -\sqrt{2} \sum_{i=1}^3 \frac{e}{2m_i} [e^{-ikz(i)} q(i)] [k\sigma_-(i) + (p_x(i) - ip_y(i))]$$

$$= -\sqrt{2} \frac{3e}{2m_3} [e^{-ikz(3)} q(3)] [k\sigma_-(3) + (p_x(3) - ip_y(3))] \quad (32)$$

where the first term contributes to magnetic moments or magnetic-dipole transitions, and the second term contributes to electric-dipole transitions between  $L = 1$  orbit excitations and the ground states. Thus, we can obtain the radiative widths in term of  $A_{\frac{1}{2}}$  and  $A_{\frac{3}{2}}$ ,

$$\Gamma = \frac{k^2}{2\pi} \frac{1}{2J+1} \frac{m_f}{m_i} \{|A_{\frac{3}{2}}|^2 + |A_{\frac{1}{2}}|^2\} \quad (33)$$

#### IV. DECUPLET TO OCTET TRANSITION MAGNETIC MOMENTS

In this section we calculate the decuplet to octet transition magnetic moments. Making use of the equations (12), (18), (19), we can express the magnetic moment of a given quark B as

$$\mu_B^{total} = \mu_B^{val} + \mu_B^{sea} + \mu_B^{orbit} \quad (34)$$

where

$$\mu_B^{val} = \sum_{q=u,d,s} \Delta q^{val} \mu_q \quad (35)$$

$$\mu_B^{sea} = \sum_{q=u,d,s} \Delta q^{sea} \mu_q \quad (36)$$

$$\mu_B^{orbit} = \sum_{q=u,d,s} \Delta q_{GB}^{orbit} \mu_q \langle l_{q-}^{GB} \rangle + \sum_{GB} \Delta G B_q^{orbit} \mu_{GB} \langle l_{GB}^{q-} \rangle \quad (37)$$

Similarly, we can get the transition magnetic moments of  $B_{10} \rightarrow B_8 + \gamma$  transitions. In the absence of the conventional form factor, we can use the equations (34)-(37) directly after calculating  $\widehat{B_{10}B_8} = \sum_{j=1}^3 \langle B_8, J_z = \frac{1}{2} | \mathcal{N}(j) | B_{10}, J_z = \frac{1}{2} \rangle [20]$ . However, if we consider the form factor,  $A_M$  contains the integral like  $\langle \psi_{000}^s | e^{-ikz(3)} | \psi_{000}^s \rangle$  [26, 27] for the radiative decays between baryons with  $L_i = 0$  and  $L_f = 0$  if we define the baryon flavor-spin-space wave function as  $|B\rangle = \phi\chi\psi$ . Therefore, we need make slight modification of the  $\hat{B}$  and get  $\hat{B}(k)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{B_{10}B_8}(k) &= \sum_{j=1}^3 \langle B_8, J_z = \frac{1}{2} | \mathcal{N}(j) \cdot e^{-ikz(j)} | B_{10}, J_z = \frac{1}{2} \rangle = 3 \langle B_8, J_z = \frac{1}{2} | \mathcal{N}(3) \cdot e^{-ikz(3)} | B_{10}, J_z = \frac{1}{2} \rangle \\ &= \widehat{B_{10}B_8} \cdot \langle \psi_{000}^s | e^{-ikz(3)} | \psi_{000}^s \rangle, \end{aligned} \quad (38)$$

where  $\mathbf{k}$  is the momentum of the photon. We add spatial parts to the operator and thus need add the form factor to the transition magnetic moments,

$$\mu_{B_{10}B_8}(k) = \mu_{B_{10}B_8} \cdot \langle \psi_{000}^s | e^{-ikz(3)} | \psi_{000}^s \rangle \quad (39)$$

Because  $A_M$  contains contribution only from magnetic-dipole transitions ( $M1$ ) for the decuplet to octet transitions, we can write  $A_M$  in terms of  $\mu_{B_{10}B_8}(k)$ ,

$$A_{\frac{3}{2}} = A_{\frac{3}{2}}^{M1} = -\sqrt{3\pi k} \cdot \mu_{B_{10}B_8}(k) \quad (40)$$

$$A_{\frac{1}{2}} = A_{\frac{1}{2}}^{M1} = -\sqrt{\pi k} \cdot \mu_{B_{10}B_8}(k) \quad (41)$$

Next, we list the detailed calculations of  $\Sigma^0(1385) \rightarrow \Lambda(1116) + \gamma$ ,

$$\widehat{\Sigma^{*,0}\Lambda}(k) = \widehat{\Sigma^{*,0}\Lambda} \cdot \langle \psi_{000}^s | e^{-ikz(3)} | \psi_{000}^s \rangle$$

$$= \frac{\sqrt{6}}{3}(u^+ - d^+) \cdot e^{-\frac{1}{6}k^2 R^2} \quad (42)$$

considering  $q \longrightarrow GB + q'$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{\Sigma^{*,0}\Lambda}(k) = & \frac{\sqrt{6}}{3} \{ [1 - a(\frac{9 + \beta^2 + 2\zeta^2}{6} + \alpha^2)]u_+ + \frac{1}{3}[a(\frac{3 + \beta^2 + 2\zeta^2}{6})u_- + ad_- + a\alpha^2 s_-] + \frac{2}{3}[a(\frac{1}{2})(u_{\langle l_{u-}^{\pi^0} \rangle} + \pi_{\langle l_{\pi^0}^u \rangle}^0) \\ & + a(\frac{\beta^2}{6})(u_{\langle l_{u-}^{\eta} \rangle} + \eta_{\langle l_{\eta}^{u-} \rangle}) + a(\frac{\zeta^2}{3})(u_{\langle l_{u-}^{\eta'} \rangle} + \eta_{\langle l_{\eta'}^{u-} \rangle}) + a(d_{\langle l_{d-}^{\pi^+} \rangle} + \pi_{\langle l_{\pi^+}^{d-} \rangle}^+) + a\alpha^2(s_{\langle l_{s-}^{K^+} \rangle} + K_{\langle l_{K^+}^{s-} \rangle}^+)] \\ & - [1 - a(\frac{9 + \beta^2 + 2\zeta^2}{6} + \alpha^2)]d_+ - \frac{1}{3}[a(\frac{3 + \beta^2 + 2\zeta^2}{6})d_- + au_- + a\alpha^2 s_-] - \frac{2}{3}[a(\frac{1}{2})(d_{\langle l_{d-}^{\pi^0} \rangle} + \pi_{\langle l_{\pi^0}^{d-} \rangle}^0) \\ & + a(\frac{\beta^2}{6})(d_{\langle l_{d-}^{\eta} \rangle} + \eta_{\langle l_{\eta}^{d-} \rangle}) + a(\frac{\zeta^2}{3})(d_{\langle l_{d-}^{\eta'} \rangle} + \eta_{\langle l_{\eta'}^{d-} \rangle}) + a(u_{\langle l_{u-}^{\pi^+} \rangle} + \pi_{\langle l_{\pi^+}^{u-} \rangle}^-) + a\alpha^2(s_{\langle l_{s-}^{K^0} \rangle} + K_{\langle l_{K^0}^{s-} \rangle}^0)] \} \\ & \cdot e^{-\frac{1}{6}k^2 R^2} \end{aligned} \quad (43)$$

$$\mu_{\Sigma^{*,0}\Lambda}^{total}(k) = \mu_{\Sigma^{*,0}\Lambda}^{val}(k) + \mu_{\Sigma^{*,0}\Lambda}^{sea}(k) + \mu_{\Sigma^{*,0}\Lambda}^{orbit}(k) \quad (44)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_{\Sigma^{*,0}\Lambda}^{val}(k) &= \mu_{\Sigma^{*,0}\Lambda}^{val} \cdot e^{-\frac{1}{6}k^2 R^2} \\ &= \frac{\sqrt{6}}{3}(\mu_u - \mu_d) \cdot e^{-\frac{1}{6}k^2 R^2} \end{aligned} \quad (45)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_{\Sigma^{*,0}\Lambda}^{sea}(k) &= \mu_{\Sigma^{*,0}\Lambda}^{sea} \cdot e^{-\frac{1}{6}k^2 R^2} \\ &= -\frac{\sqrt{6}}{3}a(\frac{12 + 2\beta^2 + 4\zeta^2}{9} + \alpha^2)(\mu_u - \mu_d) \cdot e^{-\frac{1}{6}k^2 R^2} \end{aligned} \quad (46)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_{\Sigma^{*,0}\Lambda}^{orbit}(k) &= \mu_{\Sigma^{*,0}\Lambda}^{orbit} \cdot e^{-\frac{1}{6}k^2 R^2} \\ &= \frac{2\sqrt{6}}{9}a[\frac{1}{2}(\mu_u \langle l_{u-}^{\pi^0} \rangle + \mu_{\pi^0} \langle l_{\pi^0}^{u-} \rangle) + \frac{\beta^2}{6}(\mu_u \langle l_{u-}^{\eta} \rangle + \mu_{\eta} \langle l_{\eta}^{u-} \rangle) + \frac{\zeta^2}{3}(\mu_u \langle l_{u-}^{\eta'} \rangle + \mu_{\eta} \langle l_{\eta'}^{u-} \rangle) \\ &+ (\mu_d \langle l_{d-}^{\pi^+} \rangle + \mu_{\pi^+} \langle l_{\pi^+}^{d-} \rangle) + \alpha^2(\mu_s \langle l_{s-}^{K^+} \rangle + \mu_{K^+} \langle l_{K^+}^{s-} \rangle) \\ &- \frac{1}{2}(\mu_d \langle l_{d-}^{\pi^0} \rangle + \mu_{\pi^0} \langle l_{\pi^0}^{d-} \rangle) - \frac{\beta^2}{6}(\mu_d \langle l_{d+}^{\eta} \rangle + \mu_{\eta} \langle l_{\eta}^{d+} \rangle) - \frac{\zeta^2}{3}(\mu_d \langle l_{d+}^{\eta'} \rangle + \mu_{\eta} \langle l_{\eta'}^{d+} \rangle) \\ &- (\mu_u \langle l_{u+}^{\pi^-} \rangle + \mu_{\pi^-} \langle l_{\pi^-}^{u+} \rangle) - \alpha^2(\mu_s \langle l_{s+}^{K^0} \rangle + \mu_{K^0} \langle l_{K^0}^{s+} \rangle)]e^{-\frac{1}{6}k^2 R^2} \end{aligned} \quad (47)$$

So,

$$A_{\frac{3}{2}}^{total}(\Sigma^{*,0} \rightarrow \Lambda + \gamma) = A_{\frac{3}{2}}^{M1,val}(\Sigma^{*,0} \rightarrow \Lambda + \gamma) + A_{\frac{3}{2}}^{M1,sea}(\Sigma^{*,0} \rightarrow \Lambda + \gamma) + A_{\frac{3}{2}}^{M1,orbit}(\Sigma^{*,0} \rightarrow \Lambda + \gamma) \quad (48)$$

where,

$$A_{\frac{3}{2}}^{M1,val}(\Sigma^{*,0} \rightarrow \Lambda + \gamma) = -\sqrt{3\pi k} \cdot \mu_{\Sigma^{*,0}\Lambda}^{val}(k) \quad (49)$$

$$A_{\frac{3}{2}}^{M1,sea}(\Sigma^{*,0} \rightarrow \Lambda + \gamma) = -\sqrt{3\pi k} \cdot \mu_{\Sigma^{*,0}\Lambda}^{sea}(k) \quad (50)$$

$$A_{\frac{3}{2}}^{M1,orbit}(\Sigma^{*,0} \rightarrow \Lambda + \gamma) = -\sqrt{3\pi k} \cdot \mu_{\Sigma^{*,0}\Lambda}^{orbit}(k) \quad (51)$$

$$A_{\frac{1}{2}}^{total}(\Sigma^{*,0} \rightarrow \Lambda + \gamma) = A_{\frac{1}{2}}^{M1,val}(\Sigma^{*,0} \rightarrow \Lambda + \gamma) + A_{\frac{1}{2}}^{M1,sea}(\Sigma^{*,0} \rightarrow \Lambda + \gamma) + A_{\frac{1}{2}}^{M1,orbit}(\Sigma^{*,0} \rightarrow \Lambda + \gamma) \quad (52)$$

where,

$$A_{\frac{1}{2}}^{M1,val}(\Sigma^{*,0} \rightarrow \Lambda + \gamma) = -\sqrt{\pi k} \cdot \mu_{\Sigma^{*,0}\Lambda}^{val}(k) \quad (53)$$

$$A_{\frac{1}{2}}^{M1,sea}(\Sigma^{*,0} \rightarrow \Lambda + \gamma) = -\sqrt{\pi k} \cdot \mu_{\Sigma^{*,0}\Lambda}^{sea}(k) \quad (54)$$

$$A_{\frac{1}{2}}^{M1,orbit}(\Sigma^{*,0} \rightarrow \Lambda + \gamma) = -\sqrt{\pi k} \cdot \mu_{\Sigma^{*,0}\Lambda}^{orbit}(k) \quad (55)$$

besides,

$$A_{\frac{3}{2}}^{total}(\Sigma^{*,0} \rightarrow \Lambda + \gamma) = -\sqrt{3\pi k} \cdot \mu_{\Sigma^{*,0}\Lambda}^{total}(k) \quad (56)$$

$$A_{\frac{1}{2}}^{total}(\Sigma^{*,0} \rightarrow \Lambda + \gamma) = -\sqrt{\pi k} \cdot \mu_{\Sigma^{*,0}\Lambda}^{total}(k) \quad (57)$$

$$\Gamma(\Sigma^{*,0} \rightarrow \Lambda + \gamma) = \frac{k^3}{2} \frac{m_\Lambda}{m_{\Sigma^{*,0}}} [\mu_{\Sigma^{*,0}\Lambda}^{total}(k)]^2 \quad (58)$$

## V. RADIATIVE DECAYS OF $\Lambda(1405)$ AND $\Lambda(1520)$

Both the magnetic-dipole transitions and electric-dipole transitions contribute to the radiative decays of low-lying excited ( $L = 1$ ) hyperons.

$$A_M = A_M^{M1} + A_M^{E1}, \quad M = \frac{1}{2} \text{ or } \frac{3}{2} \quad (59)$$

We have to take the calculations of both  $\widehat{B_i B_{f(M)}}(k)$  (corresponding to  $A_M^{M1}$ ) and  $\widehat{B_i B_{f(M)}}^*(k)$  (corresponding to  $A_M^{E1}$ ),

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{B_i B_{f(M)}}(k) &= \sum_{j=1}^3 \langle B_f, J_z = M | \mathcal{N}(j) \cdot e^{-ikz(j)} | B_i, J_z = M \rangle \\ &= 3 \langle B_f, J_z = M | \mathcal{N}(3) \cdot e^{-ikz(3)} | B_i, J_z = M \rangle, \quad M = -\frac{1}{2} \text{ or } \frac{1}{2} \end{aligned} \quad (60)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{B_i B_{f(M)}}^*(k) &= \frac{1}{k} \sum_{j=1}^3 \langle B_f, J_z = M - 1 | \mathcal{N}^*(j) \cdot e^{-ikz(j)} (p_x(i) - ip_y(i)) | B_i, J_z = M \rangle \\ &= \frac{3}{k} \langle B_f, J_z = M - 1 | \mathcal{N}^*(3) \cdot e^{-ikz(3)} (p_x(3) - ip_y(3)) | B_i, J_z = M \rangle, \quad M = \frac{1}{2} \text{ or } \frac{3}{2} \end{aligned} \quad (61)$$

where  $\mathcal{N}^*(j)$  is defined as

$$\mathcal{N}^*(j) = \hat{n}_u(j)u + \hat{n}_d(j)d + \hat{n}_s(j)s. \quad (62)$$

$\widehat{B_i B_f}(k)$  corresponds to the magnetic-dipole transitions, which is discussed in Sec. A, while  $\widehat{B_i B_f}^*(k)$  corresponds to the electric-dipole transitions, which will be explained below.

From the equation (31), the magnetic term flips the spin of the quark and transforms as  $\sigma_-$  [28]. We must ensure that the CQ-system remains to be a spin  $\frac{1}{2}$  entity as a single valence quark [25] when considering the effects of  $q \rightarrow GB + q'$ . Similarly, the electric term flips the  $L_z$  of the quark and transforms as  $L_-$ . So we calculate spin-flavor structure  $\widehat{B_i B_f}^*(k)$  and must ensure that the CQ-system remains the same  $L_z$  as a single valence quark. Besides, because the electric term has no spin operators,  $\mathcal{N}^*(j)$  does not need spin subscripts.

Therefore, the  $\widehat{B_i B_f}^*(k)$  can be calculated as follows: first we calculate the  $\widehat{B_i B_f}^*(k)$  without considering  $q \longrightarrow GB + q'$ , then we replace every valence quark as

$$q \longrightarrow (1 - \sum_{q'=u,d,s} P_{(q \rightarrow q')})q + \sum_{q'=u,d,s} P_{(q \rightarrow q')} (q'_{\langle l_{GB}^B \rangle} + GB_{\langle l_{GB}^{q'} \rangle}) \quad (63)$$

where  $\langle l_{q'}^{GB} \rangle = \frac{M_{GB}}{M_{q'} + M_{GB}}$ , and  $\langle l_{GB}^{q'} \rangle = \frac{M_{q'}}{M_{q'} + M_{GB}}$ .

We take  $\Lambda(1520) \rightarrow \Lambda(1116) + \gamma$  for example.

$$|\Lambda(1520), J_z = \frac{3}{2}\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\phi^a \chi_{\frac{1}{2}}^\lambda \psi_{111}^\rho - \phi^a \chi_{\frac{1}{2}}^\rho \psi_{111}^\lambda), \quad (64)$$

$$|\Lambda(1520), J_z = \frac{1}{2}\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}(\phi^a \chi_{-\frac{1}{2}}^\lambda \psi_{111}^\rho - \phi^a \chi_{-\frac{1}{2}}^\rho \psi_{111}^\lambda) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}(\phi^a \chi_{\frac{1}{2}}^\lambda \psi_{110}^\rho - \phi^a \chi_{\frac{1}{2}}^\rho \psi_{110}^\lambda), \quad (65)$$

$$|\Lambda(1116), J_z = \frac{1}{2}\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\phi_\Lambda^\rho \chi_{\frac{1}{2}}^\rho + \phi_\Lambda^\lambda \chi_{\frac{1}{2}}^\lambda) \psi_{000}^s. \quad (66)$$

With these wave functions, we obtain

$$\widehat{\Lambda_{1520} \Lambda_{1116}(-\frac{1}{2})}(k) = i \frac{1}{6}(u_+ + d_+ - 2s_+) \cdot kR \cdot e^{-\frac{1}{6}k^2 R^2}, \quad (67)$$

in which  $\langle \psi_{000}^s | e^{-ikz(3)} | \psi_{110}^\lambda \rangle = i \frac{\sqrt{3}}{3} \cdot kR \cdot e^{-\frac{1}{6}k^2 R^2}$ .

$$\widehat{\Lambda_{1520} \Lambda_{1116}(\frac{3}{2})}^*(k) = -i \frac{\sqrt{3}}{6}(u + d - 2s) \cdot \frac{1}{kR} \cdot e^{-\frac{1}{6}k^2 R^2}, \quad (68)$$

$$\widehat{\Lambda_{1520} \Lambda_{1116}(\frac{1}{2})}^*(k) = -i \frac{1}{6}(u + d - 2s) \cdot \frac{1}{kR} \cdot e^{-\frac{1}{6}k^2 R^2}, \quad (69)$$

in which  $\langle \psi_{000}^s | e^{-ikz(3)} (p_x(3) - ip_y(3)) | \psi_{111}^\lambda \rangle = -i \frac{\sqrt{6}}{3} \cdot \frac{1}{R} \cdot e^{-\frac{1}{6}k^2 R^2}$ .

Then, considering  $q \longrightarrow GB + q'$ , we give a modification of the equations (67)-(69).

$$\begin{aligned} & \widehat{\Lambda_{1520} \Lambda_{1116}(-\frac{1}{2})}(k) \\ &= \{ [1 - a(\frac{9 + \beta^2 + 2\zeta^2}{6} + \alpha^2)]u_+ + \frac{1}{3}[a(\frac{3 + \beta^2 + 2\zeta^2}{6})u_- + ad_- + a\alpha^2 s_-] + \frac{2}{3}[a(\frac{1}{2})(u_{\langle l_{u-}^{\pi^0} \rangle} + \pi_{\langle l_{\pi^0}^{u-} \rangle}^0) \\ &+ a(\frac{\beta^2}{6})(u_{\langle l_{u-}^{\eta} \rangle} + \eta_{\langle l_{\eta}^{u-} \rangle}) + a(\frac{\zeta^2}{3})(u_{\langle l_{u-}^{\eta'} \rangle} + \eta_{\langle l_{\eta'}^{u-} \rangle}) + a(d_{\langle l_{d-}^{\pi^+} \rangle} + \pi_{\langle l_{\pi^+}^{d-} \rangle}^+) + a\alpha^2(s_{\langle l_{s-}^{K^+} \rangle} + K_{\langle l_{K^+}^{s-} \rangle}^+)] \\ &+ [1 - a(\frac{9 + \beta^2 + 2\zeta^2}{6} + \alpha^2)]d_+ + \frac{1}{3}[a(\frac{3 + \beta^2 + 2\zeta^2}{6})d_- + au_- + a\alpha^2 s_-] + \frac{2}{3}[a(\frac{1}{2})(d_{\langle l_{d-}^{\pi^0} \rangle} + \pi_{\langle l_{\pi^0}^{d-} \rangle}^0) \\ &+ a(\frac{\beta^2}{6})(d_{\langle l_{d-}^{\eta} \rangle} + \eta_{\langle l_{\eta}^{d-} \rangle}) + a(\frac{\zeta^2}{3})(d_{\langle l_{d-}^{\eta'} \rangle} + \eta_{\langle l_{\eta'}^{d-} \rangle}) + a(u_{\langle l_{u-}^{\pi^-} \rangle} + \pi_{\langle l_{\pi^-}^{u-} \rangle}^-) + a\alpha^2(s_{\langle l_{s-}^{K^0} \rangle} + K_{\langle l_{K^0}^{s-} \rangle}^0)] \\ &- 2[1 - a(\frac{2\beta^2 + \zeta^2}{3} + 2\alpha^2)]s_+ - \frac{1}{3}[\frac{2a}{3}(2\beta^2 + \zeta^2)s_- + 2a\alpha^2 u_- + 2a\alpha^2 d_-] - \frac{2}{3}[\frac{4a}{3}\beta^2(s_{\langle l_{s-}^{\eta} \rangle} + \eta_{\langle l_{\eta}^{s-} \rangle}^s) \\ &+ \frac{2a}{3}\zeta^2(s_{\langle l_{s-}^{\eta'} \rangle} + \eta_{\langle l_{\eta'}^{s-} \rangle}^s) + 2a\alpha^2(u_{\langle l_{u-}^{K^-} \rangle} + K_{\langle l_{K^-}^{u-} \rangle}^-) + 2a\alpha^2(d_{\langle l_{d-}^{K^0} \rangle} + \overline{K}_{\langle l_{K^0}^{d-} \rangle}^0)] \} \cdot (i \frac{1}{6}kR \cdot e^{-\frac{1}{6}k^2 R^2}), \quad (70) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \widehat{\Lambda_{1520} \Lambda_{1116}(\frac{3}{2})}^*(k) \\ &= \{ [1 - a(\frac{9 + \beta^2 + 2\zeta^2}{6} + \alpha^2)]u + a(\frac{1}{2})(u_{\langle l_{u-}^{\pi^0} \rangle} + \pi_{\langle l_{\pi^0}^{u-} \rangle}^0) + a(\frac{\beta^2}{6})(u_{\langle l_{u-}^{\eta} \rangle} + \eta_{\langle l_{\eta}^{u-} \rangle}) \\ &+ a(\frac{\zeta^2}{3})(u_{\langle l_{u-}^{\eta'} \rangle} + \eta_{\langle l_{\eta'}^{u-} \rangle}) + a(d_{\langle l_{d-}^{\pi^+} \rangle} + \pi_{\langle l_{\pi^+}^{d-} \rangle}^+) + a\alpha^2(s_{\langle l_{s-}^{K^+} \rangle} + K_{\langle l_{K^+}^{s-} \rangle}^+) \\ &+ [1 - a(\frac{9 + \beta^2 + 2\zeta^2}{6} + \alpha^2)]d + a(\frac{1}{2})(d_{\langle l_{d-}^{\pi^0} \rangle} + \pi_{\langle l_{\pi^0}^{d-} \rangle}^0) + a(\frac{\beta^2}{6})(d_{\langle l_{d-}^{\eta} \rangle} + \eta_{\langle l_{\eta}^{d-} \rangle}) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + a(\frac{\zeta^2}{3})(d_{\langle l_{\eta'}^d \rangle} + \eta_{\langle l_{\eta'}^d \rangle}) + a(u_{\langle l_{\pi^-}^u \rangle} + \pi_{\langle l_{\pi^-}^u \rangle}) + a\alpha^2(s_{\langle l_{K^0}^s \rangle} + K_{\langle l_{K^0}^s \rangle}^0) \\
& - 2[1 - a(\frac{2\beta^2 + \zeta^2}{3} + 2\alpha^2)]s - \frac{4a}{3}\beta^2(s_{\langle l_{\eta}^s \rangle} + \eta_{\langle l_{\eta}^s \rangle}) - \frac{2a}{3}\zeta^2(s_{\langle l_{\eta'}^s \rangle} + \eta'_{\langle l_{\eta'}^s \rangle}) \\
& - 2a\alpha^2(u_{\langle l_{K^-}^u \rangle} + K_{\langle l_{K^-}^u \rangle}^-) - 2a\alpha^2(d_{\langle l_{\bar{K}^0}^d \rangle} + \bar{K}_{\langle l_{\bar{K}^0}^d \rangle}^0) \} \cdot (-i\frac{\sqrt{3}}{6}\frac{1}{kR} \cdot e^{-\frac{1}{6}k^2R^2}), \tag{71}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \widehat{\Lambda_{1520}\Lambda_{1116}}^*(k) \\
& = \{[1 - a(\frac{9 + \beta^2 + 2\zeta^2}{6} + \alpha^2)]u + a(\frac{1}{2})(u_{\langle l_{\pi^0}^u \rangle} + \pi_{\langle l_{\pi^0}^u \rangle}^0) + a(\frac{\beta^2}{6})(u_{\langle l_{\eta}^u \rangle} + \eta_{\langle l_{\eta}^u \rangle}) \\
& + a(\frac{\zeta^2}{3})(u_{\langle l_{\eta'}^u \rangle} + \eta_{\langle l_{\eta'}^u \rangle}) + a(d_{\langle l_{\pi^+}^d \rangle} + \pi_{\langle l_{\pi^+}^d \rangle}^+) + a\alpha^2(s_{\langle l_{K^+}^s \rangle} + K_{\langle l_{K^+}^s \rangle}^+) \\
& + [1 - a(\frac{9 + \beta^2 + 2\zeta^2}{6} + \alpha^2)]d + a(\frac{1}{2})(d_{\langle l_{\pi^0}^d \rangle} + \pi_{\langle l_{\pi^0}^d \rangle}^0) + a(\frac{\beta^2}{6})(d_{\langle l_{\eta}^d \rangle} + \eta_{\langle l_{\eta}^d \rangle}) \\
& + a(\frac{\zeta^2}{3})(d_{\langle l_{\eta'}^d \rangle} + \eta_{\langle l_{\eta'}^d \rangle}) + a(u_{\langle l_{\pi^-}^u \rangle} + \pi_{\langle l_{\pi^-}^u \rangle}) + a\alpha^2(s_{\langle l_{K^0}^s \rangle} + K_{\langle l_{K^0}^s \rangle}^0) \\
& - 2[1 - a(\frac{2\beta^2 + \zeta^2}{3} + 2\alpha^2)]s - \frac{4a}{3}\beta^2(s_{\langle l_{\eta}^s \rangle} + \eta_{\langle l_{\eta}^s \rangle}) - \frac{2a}{3}\zeta^2(s_{\langle l_{\eta'}^s \rangle} + \eta'_{\langle l_{\eta'}^s \rangle}) \\
& - 2a\alpha^2(u_{\langle l_{K^-}^u \rangle} + K_{\langle l_{K^-}^u \rangle}^-) - 2a\alpha^2(d_{\langle l_{\bar{K}^0}^d \rangle} + \bar{K}_{\langle l_{\bar{K}^0}^d \rangle}^0) \} \cdot (-i\frac{1}{6}\frac{1}{kR} \cdot e^{-\frac{1}{6}k^2R^2}). \tag{72}
\end{aligned}$$

Using equations (34)-(37), we can calculate  $\mu_M^{total}(B_i B_f)$  and  $\mu_M^{total}(B_i B_f)^*$  corresponding to (60), (61) respectively,

$$\mu_M^{total}(\Lambda_{1520}\Lambda_{1116}) = \mu_M^{val}(\Lambda_{1520}\Lambda_{1116}) + \mu_M^{sea}(\Lambda_{1520}\Lambda_{1116}) + \mu_M^{orbit}(\Lambda_{1520}\Lambda_{1116}), \tag{73}$$

$$\mu_{(-\frac{1}{2})}^{val}(\Lambda_{1520}\Lambda_{1116}) = (\mu_u + \mu_d - 2\mu_s) \cdot (i\frac{1}{6}kR \cdot e^{-\frac{1}{6}k^2R^2}), \tag{74}$$

$$\mu_{(-\frac{1}{2})}^{sea}(\Lambda_{1520}\Lambda_{1116}) = -a[(\frac{18 + 2\beta^2 + 4\zeta^2}{9} + \frac{1}{3}\alpha^2)(\mu_u + \mu_d) - (\frac{16\beta^2 + 8\zeta^2}{9} + \frac{10}{3}\alpha^2)\mu_s] \cdot (i\frac{1}{6}kR \cdot e^{-\frac{1}{6}k^2R^2}), \tag{75}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\mu_{(-\frac{1}{2})}^{orbit}(\Lambda_{1520}\Lambda_{1116}) & = \frac{2}{3}a[\frac{1}{2}(\mu_u \langle l_{\pi^0}^u \rangle + \mu_{\pi^0} \langle l_{\pi^0}^u \rangle) + \frac{\beta^2}{6}(\mu_u \langle l_{\eta}^u \rangle + \mu_{\eta} \langle l_{\eta}^u \rangle) \\
& + \frac{\zeta^2}{3}(\mu_u \langle l_{\eta'}^u \rangle + \mu_{\eta} \langle l_{\eta'}^u \rangle) + (\mu_d \langle l_{\pi^+}^d \rangle + \mu_{\pi^+} \langle l_{\pi^+}^d \rangle) + \alpha^2(\mu_s \langle l_{K^+}^s \rangle + \mu_{K^+} \langle l_{K^+}^s \rangle) \\
& + \frac{1}{2}(\mu_d \langle l_{\pi^0}^d \rangle + \mu_{\pi^0} \langle l_{\pi^0}^d \rangle) + \frac{\beta^2}{6}(\mu_d \langle l_{\eta}^d \rangle + \mu_{\eta} \langle l_{\eta}^d \rangle) \\
& + \frac{\zeta^2}{3}(\mu_d \langle l_{\eta'}^d \rangle + \mu_{\eta} \langle l_{\eta'}^d \rangle) + (\mu_u \langle l_{\pi^-}^u \rangle + \mu_{\pi^-} \langle l_{\pi^-}^u \rangle) + \alpha^2(\mu_s \langle l_{K^0}^s \rangle + \mu_{K^0} \langle l_{K^0}^s \rangle) \\
& - \frac{4a}{3}\beta^2(\mu_s \langle l_{\eta}^s \rangle + \mu_{\eta} \langle l_{\eta}^s \rangle) - \frac{2a}{3}\zeta^2(\mu_s \langle l_{\eta'}^s \rangle + \mu_{\eta'} \langle l_{\eta'}^s \rangle) \\
& - 2a\alpha^2(\mu_u \langle l_{K^-}^u \rangle + \mu_{K^-} \langle l_{K^-}^u \rangle) - 2a\alpha^2(\mu_d \langle l_{\bar{K}^0}^d \rangle + \mu_{\bar{K}^0} \langle l_{\bar{K}^0}^d \rangle)] \cdot (i\frac{1}{6}kR \cdot e^{-\frac{1}{6}k^2R^2}), \tag{76}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\mu_M^{total}(\Lambda_{1520}\Lambda_{1116})^* = \mu_M^{val}(\Lambda_{1520}\Lambda_{1116})^* + \mu_M^{sea}(\Lambda_{1520}\Lambda_{1116})^* + \mu_M^{orbit}(\Lambda_{1520}\Lambda_{1116})^*, \tag{77}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\mu_{(\frac{3}{2})}^{val}(\Lambda_{1520}\Lambda_{1116})^* & = (\mu_u + \mu_d - 2\mu_s) \\
& \cdot (-i\frac{\sqrt{3}}{6}\frac{1}{kR} \cdot e^{-\frac{1}{6}k^2R^2}), \tag{78}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\mu_{(\frac{3}{2})}^{sea}(\Lambda_{1520}\Lambda_{1116})^* = [-a(\frac{9 + \beta^2 + 2\zeta^2}{6} + \alpha^2)](\mu_u + \mu_d) - 2[-a(\frac{2\beta^2 + \zeta^2}{3} + 2\alpha^2)]\mu_s$$

$$\cdot \left( -i \frac{\sqrt{3}}{6} \frac{1}{kR} \cdot e^{-\frac{1}{6}k^2 R^2} \right), \quad (79)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_{(\frac{3}{2})}^{orbit}(\Lambda_{1520}\Lambda_{1116})^* &= a \left[ \frac{1}{2}(\mu_u \langle l_u^{\pi^0} \rangle + \mu_{\pi^0} \langle l_{\pi^0}^u \rangle) + \frac{\beta^2}{6}(\mu_u \langle l_u^\eta \rangle + \mu_\eta \langle l_\eta^u \rangle) \right. \\ &+ \frac{\zeta^2}{3}(\mu_u \langle l_u^{\eta'} \rangle + \mu_\eta \langle l_\eta^u \rangle) + (\mu_d \langle l_d^{\pi^+} \rangle + \mu_{\pi^+} \langle l_{\pi^+}^d \rangle) + \alpha^2(\mu_s \langle l_s^{K^+} \rangle + \mu_{K^+} \langle l_{K^+}^s \rangle) \\ &+ \frac{1}{2}(\mu_d \langle l_d^{\pi^0} \rangle + \mu_{\pi^0} \langle l_{\pi^0}^d \rangle) + \frac{\beta^2}{6}(\mu_d \langle l_d^\eta \rangle + \mu_\eta \langle l_\eta^d \rangle) \\ &+ \frac{\zeta^2}{3}(\mu_d \langle l_d^{\eta'} \rangle + \mu_\eta \langle l_\eta^d \rangle) + (\mu_u \langle l_u^{\pi^-} \rangle + \mu_{\pi^-} \langle l_{\pi^-}^u \rangle) + \alpha^2(\mu_s \langle l_s^{K^0} \rangle + \mu_{K^0} \langle l_{K^0}^s \rangle) \\ &- \frac{4a}{3}\beta^2(\mu_s \langle l_s^\eta \rangle + \mu_\eta \langle l_\eta^s \rangle) - \frac{2a}{3}\zeta^2(\mu_s \langle l_s^{\eta'} \rangle + \mu_{\eta'} \langle l_{\eta'}^s \rangle) \\ &- 2a\alpha^2(\mu_u \langle l_u^{K^-} \rangle + \mu_{K^-} \langle l_{K^-}^u \rangle) - 2a\alpha^2(\mu_d \langle l_d^{\bar{K}^0} \rangle + \mu_{\bar{K}^0} \langle l_{\bar{K}^0}^d \rangle) \left. \right] \cdot \left( -i \frac{\sqrt{3}}{6} \frac{1}{kR} \cdot e^{-\frac{1}{6}k^2 R^2} \right), \quad (80) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_{(\frac{1}{2})}^{val}(\Lambda_{1520}\Lambda_{1116})^* &= (\mu_u + \mu_d - 2\mu_s) \\ &\cdot \left( -i \frac{1}{6} \frac{1}{kR} \cdot e^{-\frac{1}{6}k^2 R^2} \right), \quad (81) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_{(\frac{1}{2})}^{sea}(\Lambda_{1520}\Lambda_{1116})^* &= [-a(\frac{9 + \beta^2 + 2\zeta^2}{6} + \alpha^2)](\mu_u + \mu_d) - 2[-a(\frac{2\beta^2 + \zeta^2}{3} + 2\alpha^2)]\mu_s \\ &\cdot \left( -i \frac{1}{6} \frac{1}{kR} \cdot e^{-\frac{1}{6}k^2 R^2} \right), \quad (82) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_{(\frac{1}{2})}^{orbit}(\Lambda_{1520}\Lambda_{1116})^* &= a \left[ \frac{1}{2}(\mu_u \langle l_u^{\pi^0} \rangle + \mu_{\pi^0} \langle l_{\pi^0}^u \rangle) + \frac{\beta^2}{6}(\mu_u \langle l_u^\eta \rangle + \mu_\eta \langle l_\eta^u \rangle) \right. \\ &+ \frac{\zeta^2}{3}(\mu_u \langle l_u^{\eta'} \rangle + \mu_\eta \langle l_\eta^u \rangle) + (\mu_d \langle l_d^{\pi^+} \rangle + \mu_{\pi^+} \langle l_{\pi^+}^d \rangle) + \alpha^2(\mu_s \langle l_s^{K^+} \rangle + \mu_{K^+} \langle l_{K^+}^s \rangle) \\ &+ \frac{1}{2}(\mu_d \langle l_d^{\pi^0} \rangle + \mu_{\pi^0} \langle l_{\pi^0}^d \rangle) + \frac{\beta^2}{6}(\mu_d \langle l_d^\eta \rangle + \mu_\eta \langle l_\eta^d \rangle) \\ &+ \frac{\zeta^2}{3}(\mu_d \langle l_d^{\eta'} \rangle + \mu_\eta \langle l_\eta^d \rangle) + (\mu_u \langle l_u^{\pi^-} \rangle + \mu_{\pi^-} \langle l_{\pi^-}^u \rangle) + \alpha^2(\mu_s \langle l_s^{K^0} \rangle + \mu_{K^0} \langle l_{K^0}^s \rangle) \\ &- \frac{4a}{3}\beta^2(\mu_s \langle l_s^\eta \rangle + \mu_\eta \langle l_\eta^s \rangle) - \frac{2a}{3}\zeta^2(\mu_s \langle l_s^{\eta'} \rangle + \mu_{\eta'} \langle l_{\eta'}^s \rangle) \\ &- 2a\alpha^2(\mu_u \langle l_u^{K^-} \rangle + \mu_{K^-} \langle l_{K^-}^u \rangle) - 2a\alpha^2(\mu_d \langle l_d^{\bar{K}^0} \rangle + \mu_{\bar{K}^0} \langle l_{\bar{K}^0}^d \rangle) \left. \right] \cdot \left( -i \frac{1}{6} \frac{1}{kR} \cdot e^{-\frac{1}{6}k^2 R^2} \right). \quad (83) \end{aligned}$$

Considering the relationship between  $\mu_M(B_i B_f)$ ,  $\mu_M(B_i B_f)^*$  and  $A_M^{M1}$ ,  $A_M^{E1}$  for  $\Lambda(1520) \rightarrow \Lambda(1116) + \gamma$ , we have

$$A_{\frac{3}{2}}^{total}(\Lambda_{1520} \rightarrow \Lambda_{1116} + \gamma) = A_{\frac{3}{2}}^{E1,val}(\Lambda_{1520} \rightarrow \Lambda_{1116} + \gamma) + A_{\frac{3}{2}}^{E1,sea}(\Lambda_{1520} \rightarrow \Lambda_{1116} + \gamma) + A_{\frac{3}{2}}^{E1,orbit}(\Lambda_{1520} \rightarrow \Lambda_{1116} + \gamma), \quad (84)$$

$$(A_{\frac{3}{2}}^{M1}(\Lambda_{1520} \rightarrow \Lambda_{1116} + \gamma) = 0), \text{ where,}$$

$$A_{\frac{3}{2}}^{E1,val}(\Lambda_{1520} \rightarrow \Lambda_{1116} + \gamma) = 2\sqrt{\pi k} \cdot [\mu_{\frac{3}{2}}^{val}(\Lambda_{1520}\Lambda_{1116})^*] \quad (85)$$

$$A_{\frac{3}{2}}^{E1,sea}(\Lambda_{1520} \rightarrow \Lambda_{1116} + \gamma) = 2\sqrt{\pi k} \cdot [\mu_{\frac{3}{2}}^{sea}(\Lambda_{1520}\Lambda_{1116})^*] \quad (86)$$

$$A_{\frac{3}{2}}^{E1,orbit}(\Lambda_{1520} \rightarrow \Lambda_{1116} + \gamma) = 2\sqrt{\pi k} \cdot [\mu_{\frac{3}{2}}^{orbit}(\Lambda_{1520}\Lambda_{1116})^*] \quad (87)$$

$$A_{\frac{1}{2}}^{total}(\Lambda_{1520} \rightarrow \Lambda_{1116} + \gamma) = A_{\frac{1}{2}}^{M1,val}(\Lambda_{1520} \rightarrow \Lambda_{1116} + \gamma) + A_{\frac{1}{2}}^{M1,sea}(\Lambda_{1520} \rightarrow \Lambda_{1116} + \gamma)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + A_{\frac{1}{2}}^{M1,orbit}(\Lambda_{1520} \rightarrow \Lambda_{1116} + \gamma) + A_{\frac{1}{2}}^{E1,val}(\Lambda_{1520} \rightarrow \Lambda_{1116} + \gamma) \\
& + A_{\frac{1}{2}}^{E1,sea}(\Lambda_{1520} \rightarrow \Lambda_{1116} + \gamma) + A_{\frac{1}{2}}^{E1,orbit}(\Lambda_{1520} \rightarrow \Lambda_{1116} + \gamma)
\end{aligned} \tag{88}$$

where,

$$A_{\frac{1}{2}}^{M1,val}(\Lambda_{1520} \rightarrow \Lambda_{1116} + \gamma) = 2\sqrt{\pi k} \cdot [\mu_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{val}(\Lambda_{1520}\Lambda_{1116})] \tag{89}$$

$$A_{\frac{1}{2}}^{M1,sea}(\Lambda_{1520} \rightarrow \Lambda_{1116} + \gamma) = 2\sqrt{\pi k} \cdot [\mu_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{sea}(\Lambda_{1520}\Lambda_{1116})] \tag{90}$$

$$A_{\frac{1}{2}}^{M1,orbit}(\Lambda_{1520} \rightarrow \Lambda_{1116} + \gamma) = 2\sqrt{\pi k} \cdot [\mu_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{orbit}(\Lambda_{1520}\Lambda_{1116})] \tag{91}$$

$$A_{\frac{1}{2}}^{E1,val}(\Lambda_{1520} \rightarrow \Lambda_{1116} + \gamma) = 2\sqrt{\pi k} \cdot [\mu_{\frac{1}{2}}^{val}(\Lambda_{1520}\Lambda_{1116})^*] \tag{92}$$

$$A_{\frac{1}{2}}^{E1,sea}(\Lambda_{1520} \rightarrow \Lambda_{1116} + \gamma) = 2\sqrt{\pi k} \cdot [\mu_{\frac{1}{2}}^{sea}(\Lambda_{1520}\Lambda_{1116})^*] \tag{93}$$

$$A_{\frac{1}{2}}^{E1,orbit}(\Lambda_{1520} \rightarrow \Lambda_{1116} + \gamma) = 2\sqrt{\pi k} \cdot [\mu_{\frac{1}{2}}^{orbit}(\Lambda_{1520}\Lambda_{1116})^*] \tag{94}$$

besides,

$$A_{\frac{3}{2}}^{total}(\Lambda_{1520} \rightarrow \Lambda_{1116} + \gamma) = 2\sqrt{\pi k} \cdot [\mu_{\frac{3}{2}}^{total}(\Lambda_{1520}\Lambda_{1116})^*] \tag{95}$$

$$A_{\frac{1}{2}}^{total}(\Lambda_{1520} \rightarrow \Lambda_{1116} + \gamma) = 2\sqrt{\pi k} \cdot [\mu_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{total}(\Lambda_{1520}\Lambda_{1116}) + \mu_{\frac{1}{2}}^{total}(\Lambda_{1520}\Lambda_{1116})^*] \tag{96}$$

## VI. RESULTS AND CONCLUSIONS

We collect the input parameters  $a$ ,  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ ,  $\zeta$ ,  $R$  (the harmonic-oscillator radius parameter) and the masses of the quarks and  $GBs$  in Table I. The parameters  $a$ ,  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$  and  $\zeta$  are fixed by fitting the octet baryon magnetic moments [20, 21, 23, 24]. We have used the commonly used values in hadron spectroscopy for  $R$  and constituent quark masses [26, 27, 29]. And we use physical masses for  $GBs$  [20, 22].

| Input | $a$ | $\alpha$ | $\beta$ | $\zeta$ | $R(GeV^{-1})$ | $M_{u,d}(MeV)$ | $M_s(MeV)$ |
|-------|-----|----------|---------|---------|---------------|----------------|------------|
| Value | 0.1 | 0.4      | 0.4     | -0.4    | 2.45          | 350            | 500        |

Table I. The values of various inputs used in our calculation.

With the above parameters, the octet magnetic moments in the chiral quark model are listed in Table II. Numerically speaking, the sea quark and orbital contributions to the octet baryon magnetic moments are both quite large in magnitude except for  $\Xi^-$  and  $\Lambda$ . However, their contributions cancel each other to a large extent. The sum of the residual sea and the naive valence quark contribution agrees with experimental value quite well as can be seen from Table II.

| Octet<br>Baryons  | Exp.<br>[35] | $\chi QM$   |             |               |               |
|-------------------|--------------|-------------|-------------|---------------|---------------|
|                   |              | $\mu^{val}$ | $\mu^{sea}$ | $\mu^{orbit}$ | $\mu^{total}$ |
| $p$               | 2.794        | 2.680       | -0.455      | 0.569         | 2.794         |
| $n$               | -1.913       | -1.787      | 0.259       | -0.537        | -2.065        |
| $\Sigma^+$        | 2.458        | 2.591       | -0.426      | 0.466         | 2.631         |
| $\Sigma^-$        | -1.160       | -0.983      | 0.146       | -0.419        | -1.256        |
| $\Xi^0$           | -1.250       | -1.429      | 0.142       | -0.125        | -1.412        |
| $\Xi^-$           | 0.651        | -0.536      | -0.001      | 0.097         | -0.440        |
| $\Lambda$         | -0.613       | -0.625      | 0.029       | -0.007        | -0.603        |
| $\Sigma^0\Lambda$ | 1.61         | 1.547       | -0.248      | 0.383         | 1.682         |

Table II. The octet magnetic moments in units of  $\mu_N$ 

With the same parameters, we present the results of decuplet to octet transition magnetic moments in Table III.

|                                              | $\chi QM$               |                         |                           |                           |                              |
|----------------------------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|------------------------------|
|                                              | $\mu_{B_{10}B_8}^{val}$ | $\mu_{B_{10}B_8}^{sea}$ | $\mu_{B_{10}B_8}^{orbit}$ | $\mu_{B_{10}B_8}^{total}$ | $\mu_{B_{10}B_8}^{total}(k)$ |
| $B_{10} \rightarrow B_8 + \gamma$            |                         |                         |                           |                           |                              |
| $\Delta^+ \rightarrow p + \gamma$            | 2.527                   | -0.404                  | 0.626                     | 2.749                     | 2.572                        |
| $\Delta^0 \rightarrow n + \gamma$            | 2.527                   | -0.404                  | 0.626                     | 2.749                     | 2.572                        |
| $\Sigma^{*,+} \rightarrow \Sigma^+ + \gamma$ | -2.274                  | 0.321                   | -0.334                    | -2.287                    | -2.215                       |
| $\Sigma^{*,0} \rightarrow \Sigma^0 + \gamma$ | -1.011                  | 0.119                   | -0.021                    | -0.913                    | -0.884                       |
| $\Sigma^{*,0} \rightarrow \Lambda + \gamma$  | 2.188                   | -0.350                  | 0.542                     | 2.380                     | 2.245                        |
| $\Sigma^{*,-} \rightarrow \Sigma^- + \gamma$ | 0.253                   | -0.083                  | 0.292                     | 0.462                     | 0.447                        |
| $\Xi^{*,0} \rightarrow \Xi^0 + \gamma$       | -2.274                  | 0.321                   | -0.334                    | -2.287                    | -2.215                       |
| $\Xi^{*,-} \rightarrow \Xi^- + \gamma$       | 0.253                   | -0.083                  | 0.292                     | 0.462                     | 0.447                        |

Table III. The decuplet to octet transition magnetic moments in units of  $\mu_N$ 

Since the configuration mixing effect can not be ignored for the low-lying excited hyperons, we need include the configuration mixing terms in the hyperon wave functions. For the  $\Lambda(1520)$  and  $\Lambda(1405)$ , we take the following wave functions from the previous analysis [2, 4]:

$$|\Lambda(1520), \frac{3}{2}^-\rangle = 0.91|\Lambda 70, {}^2 1, 1, 1, \frac{3}{2}^-\rangle - 0.40|\Lambda 70, {}^2 8, 1, 1, \frac{3}{2}^-\rangle + 0.01|\Lambda 70, {}^4 8, 1, 1, \frac{3}{2}^-\rangle, \quad (97)$$

$$|\Lambda(1405), \frac{1}{2}^-\rangle = 0.90|\Lambda 70, {}^2 1, 1, 1, \frac{1}{2}^-\rangle - 0.43|\Lambda 70, {}^2 8, 1, 1, \frac{1}{2}^-\rangle - 0.06|\Lambda 70, {}^4 8, 1, 1, \frac{1}{2}^-\rangle, \quad (98)$$

where the baryon  $SU(6) \otimes O(3)$  wave function is defined as  $|N_6, {}^{2S+1}N_3, N, L, J^P\rangle$  [26]. Here  $N_6$  and  $N_3$  are the  $SU(6)$  and  $SU(3)$  multiplicity respectively, while  $S$ ,  $N$ ,  $L$ ,  $J$  and  $P$  are the total spin, the radial quantum number, total orbital angular momentum, total angular momentum and parity.

We present the helicity amplitudes of various radiative decays in the chiral quark model in Table IV. These helicity amplitudes are decomposed into valence quark contribution, sea contribution and orbital contribution respectively.

| $B_i \rightarrow B_f + \gamma$                                                   | $\chi QM$              |            |              |                        |            |              |                   |                        |            |              |                        |            |              |                   |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|------------|--------------|------------------------|------------|--------------|-------------------|------------------------|------------|--------------|------------------------|------------|--------------|-------------------|
|                                                                                  | $A_{\frac{3}{2}}^{M1}$ |            |              | $A_{\frac{3}{2}}^{E1}$ |            |              | $A_{\frac{3}{2}}$ | $A_{\frac{1}{2}}^{M1}$ |            |              | $A_{\frac{1}{2}}^{E1}$ |            |              | $A_{\frac{1}{2}}$ |
|                                                                                  | <i>val</i>             | <i>sea</i> | <i>orbit</i> | <i>val</i>             | <i>sea</i> | <i>orbit</i> | <i>total</i>      | <i>val</i>             | <i>sea</i> | <i>orbit</i> | <i>val</i>             | <i>sea</i> | <i>orbit</i> | <i>total</i>      |
| $\Delta^+ \rightarrow p + \gamma$                                                | -0.168                 | 0.027      | -0.042       | 0                      | 0          | 0            | -0.183            | -0.097                 | 0.016      | -0.024       | 0                      | 0          | 0            | -0.105            |
| $\Delta^0 \rightarrow n + \gamma$                                                | -0.168                 | 0.027      | -0.042       | 0                      | 0          | 0            | -0.183            | -0.097                 | 0.016      | -0.024       | 0                      | 0          | 0            | -0.105            |
| $\Sigma^{*,+} \rightarrow \Sigma^+ + \gamma$                                     | 0.130                  | -0.018     | 0.019        | 0                      | 0          | 0            | 0.131             | 0.075                  | -0.010     | 0.011        | 0                      | 0          | 0            | 0.076             |
| $\Sigma^{*,0} \rightarrow \Sigma^0 + \gamma$                                     | 0.058                  | -0.007     | 0.001        | 0                      | 0          | 0            | 0.052             | 0.033                  | -0.004     | 0.001        | 0                      | 0          | 0            | 0.030             |
| $\Sigma^{*,0} \rightarrow \Lambda + \gamma$                                      | -0.142                 | 0.023      | -0.035       | 0                      | 0          | 0            | -0.154            | -0.082                 | 0.013      | -0.020       | 0                      | 0          | 0            | -0.089            |
| $\Sigma^{*,-} \rightarrow \Sigma^- + \gamma$                                     | -0.014                 | 0.005      | -0.017       | 0                      | 0          | 0            | -0.026            | -0.008                 | 0.003      | -0.010       | 0                      | 0          | 0            | -0.015            |
| $\Xi^{*,0} \rightarrow \Xi^0 + \gamma$                                           | 0.136                  | -0.019     | 0.020        | 0                      | 0          | 0            | 0.137             | 0.078                  | -0.011     | 0.012        | 0                      | 0          | 0            | 0.079             |
| $\Xi^{*,-} \rightarrow \Xi^- + \gamma$                                           | -0.015                 | 0.005      | -0.017       | 0                      | 0          | 0            | -0.027            | -0.009                 | 0.003      | -0.010       | 0                      | 0          | 0            | -0.016            |
| $ \Lambda 70, {}^2 1, 1, 1, \frac{3}{2}^- \rangle \rightarrow \Lambda + \gamma$  | 0                      | 0          | 0            | -0.061                 | 0.006      | -0.002       | -0.057            | 0.026                  | -0.003     | -0.001       | -0.035                 | 0.004      | -0.001       | -0.010            |
| $ \Lambda 70, {}^2 8, 1, 1, \frac{3}{2}^- \rangle \rightarrow \Lambda + \gamma$  | 0                      | 0          | 0            | 0.061                  | -0.006     | 0.002        | 0.057             | -0.004                 | -0.002     | 0            | 0.035                  | -0.003     | 0.001        | 0.027             |
| $ \Lambda 70, {}^4 8, 1, 1, \frac{3}{2}^- \rangle \rightarrow \Lambda + \gamma$  | 0.018                  | -0.004     | 0            | 0                      | 0          | 0            | 0.014             | 0.004                  | -0.001     | 0            | 0                      | 0          | 0            | 0.003             |
| $ \Lambda 70, {}^2 1, 1, 1, \frac{3}{2}^- \rangle \rightarrow \Sigma^0 + \gamma$ | 0                      | 0          | 0            | -0.151                 | 0.026      | -0.056       | -0.181            | 0.044                  | -0.007     | 0.011        | -0.087                 | 0.015      | -0.032       | -0.056            |
| $ \Lambda 70, {}^2 8, 1, 1, \frac{3}{2}^- \rangle \rightarrow \Sigma^0 + \gamma$ | 0                      | 0          | 0            | -0.151                 | 0.026      | -0.056       | -0.181            | 0.015                  | -0.002     | 0.004        | -0.087                 | 0.015      | -0.032       | -0.087            |
| $ \Lambda 70, {}^4 8, 1, 1, \frac{3}{2}^- \rangle \rightarrow \Sigma^0 + \gamma$ | 0                      | 0          | 0            | -0.024                 | 0.004      | -0.006       | -0.026            | 0                      | 0          | 0            | -0.005                 | 0.001      | -0.001       | -0.005            |
| $ \Lambda 70, {}^2 1, 1, 1, \frac{1}{2}^- \rangle \rightarrow \Lambda + \gamma$  | 0                      | 0          | 0            | 0                      | 0          | 0            | 0                 | -0.012                 | 0.001      | 0            | -0.061                 | 0.006      | -0.002       | -0.068            |
| $ \Lambda 70, {}^2 8, 1, 1, \frac{1}{2}^- \rangle \rightarrow \Lambda + \gamma$  | 0                      | 0          | 0            | 0                      | 0          | 0            | 0                 | 0.002                  | 0.001      | 0            | 0.061                  | -0.006     | 0.002        | 0.060             |
| $ \Lambda 70, {}^4 8, 1, 1, \frac{1}{2}^- \rangle \rightarrow \Lambda + \gamma$  | 0                      | 0          | 0            | 0                      | 0          | 0            | 0                 | 0.010                  | -0.002     | 0            | 0                      | 0          | 0            | 0.008             |
| $ \Lambda 70, {}^2 1, 1, 1, \frac{1}{2}^- \rangle \rightarrow \Sigma^0 + \gamma$ | 0                      | 0          | 0            | 0                      | 0          | 0            | 0                 | -0.018                 | 0.003      | -0.004       | -0.157                 | 0.027      | -0.058       | -0.207            |
| $ \Lambda 70, {}^2 8, 1, 1, \frac{1}{2}^- \rangle \rightarrow \Sigma^0 + \gamma$ | 0                      | 0          | 0            | 0                      | 0          | 0            | 0                 | -0.006                 | 0.001      | -0.001       | -0.157                 | 0.027      | -0.058       | -0.194            |
| $ \Lambda 70, {}^4 8, 1, 1, \frac{1}{2}^- \rangle \rightarrow \Sigma^0 + \gamma$ | 0                      | 0          | 0            | 0                      | 0          | 0            | 0                 | -0.012                 | 0.002      | -0.003       | 0                      | 0          | 0            | -0.013            |

Table IV. The helicity amplitudes for the radiative transitions (in  $\text{GeV}^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ )

In Table V, we present the radiative decay widths of decuplet baryons and excited  $\Lambda$  hyperons in the chiral quark model. Moreover, we collect all the available calculations of these processes in literature and experimental data in Table V.

| $B_i \rightarrow B_f + \gamma$                        | $\chi QM$ | $NRQM$ [3, 4] | $RCQM$ [5] | $MIT\ Bag$ [3] | $Chiral\ Bag$ [6] | $Skyrme$ [7] | $Soliton$ [8] |
|-------------------------------------------------------|-----------|---------------|------------|----------------|-------------------|--------------|---------------|
| $\Delta^+ \rightarrow p + \gamma$                     | 363       | 360           |            |                |                   | 309-348      |               |
| $\Delta^0 \rightarrow n + \gamma$                     | 363       | 360           |            |                |                   | 309-348      |               |
| $\Sigma^{*,+} \rightarrow \Sigma^+ + \gamma$          | 100       |               |            |                |                   |              |               |
| $\Sigma^{*,0} \rightarrow \Sigma^0 + \gamma$          | 16        | 22            | 23         | 15             |                   | 7.7-16       | 19,11         |
| $\Sigma^{*,0} \rightarrow \Lambda + \gamma$           | 241       | 273           | 267        | 152            |                   | 157-209      | 243,170       |
| $\Sigma^{*,-} \rightarrow \Sigma^- + \gamma$          | 4.1       |               |            |                |                   |              |               |
| $\Xi^{*,0} \rightarrow \Xi^0 + \gamma$                | 133       |               |            |                |                   |              |               |
| $\Xi^{*,-} \rightarrow \Xi^- + \gamma$                | 5.4       |               |            |                |                   |              |               |
| $\Lambda_{1405} \rightarrow \Lambda_{1116} + \gamma$  | 131       | 200           | 118        | 60,17          | 75                |              | 44,40         |
| $\Lambda_{1405} \rightarrow \Sigma_{1193}^0 + \gamma$ | 109       | 72            | 46         | 18,2.7         | 1.9               |              | 13,17         |
| $\Lambda_{1520} \rightarrow \Lambda_{1116} + \gamma$  | 85        | 156           | 215        | 46             | 32                |              |               |
| $\Lambda_{1520} \rightarrow \Sigma_{1193}^0 + \gamma$ | 94        | 55            | 293        | 17             | 51                |              |               |

  

| $Algebraic\ model$ [9] | $HB\chi PT$ [10] | $1/N_c\ expansion$ [11] | $Lattice$ [12] | Previous Exp.                       | JLAB Exp.[13]              |
|------------------------|------------------|-------------------------|----------------|-------------------------------------|----------------------------|
| 343.7                  | 670-790          |                         | 430            | 640-720[30]                         |                            |
| 343.7                  | 670-790          |                         | 430            | 640-720                             |                            |
| 33.9                   | 1.4-36           | $24.9 \pm 4.1$          | 100            | $< 1750$ [31]                       | $479 \pm 120^{+81}_{-100}$ |
| 221.3                  | 290-470          | $298 \pm 25$            | 17             | $< 2000$ [31]                       |                            |
|                        |                  |                         | 3.3            |                                     |                            |
|                        |                  |                         | 129            |                                     |                            |
|                        |                  |                         | 3.8            |                                     |                            |
| 116.9                  |                  |                         |                | $27 \pm 8$ [32]                     |                            |
| 155.7                  |                  |                         |                | $10 \pm 4$ [32], $23 \pm 7$ [32]    |                            |
| 85.1                   |                  |                         |                | $33 \pm 11$ [33], $134 \pm 23$ [34] | $167 \pm 43^{+26}_{-12}$   |
| 180.4                  |                  |                         |                | $47 \pm 17$ [33]                    |                            |

Table V. The radiative widths (in keV) of the theoretical predictions and experimental values for the radiative transitions.

With these tables, we compare the contribution of the quark sea with that of the valence quarks, which gives a modification of the NRQM. For example in Table III, the orbital part contributes with the same sign as the sum of the valence quark contribution, while the sea part contributes with the opposite sign. Especially for these two decays:  $\Delta^+ \rightarrow p + \gamma$  and  $\Sigma^{*,0} \rightarrow \Lambda + \gamma$ , the experimental values are higher than the valence contribution. We find that the total contribution from the quark sea is positive and increases the valence contribution by 10%. Another important observation is the large cancellation between the orbital and sea quark contribution.

For the hyperons in Table IV, the amplitudes of the magnetic-dipole transitions and electric-dipole transitions from the quark sea are not more than 10% of the valence contribution in most cases. But for the processes  $|\Lambda 70, {}^2 1, 1, \frac{1}{2}^- \rangle \rightarrow \Sigma^0 + \gamma$  and  $|\Lambda 70, {}^2 1, 1, 1, \frac{3}{2}^- \rangle \rightarrow \Sigma^0 + \gamma$ , the quark sea contribution is significant, which is around 20% of the valence quark contribution. For these hyperons, configuration mixing effects are also important. The radiative decays from the chiral quark model roughly agrees with recent JLAB measurement. Hopefully this model can be further extended to calculate other interesting observables.

### ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This project was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China under Grants 10375003 and 10421503, Ministry of Education of China, FANEDD, Key Grant Project of Chinese Ministry of Education (NO 305001) and SRF for ROCS, SEM.

- 
- [1] N. Isgur and G. Karl, Phys. Lett. **72B**, 109 (1977); N. Isgur and G. Karl, Phys. Lett. **74B**, 353 (1978).
  - [2] N. Isgur and G. Karl, Phys. Rev. D **18**, 4187 (1978).
  - [3] E. Kaxiras, E. J. Moniz, and M. Soyeur, Phys. Rev. D **32**, 695 (1985).
  - [4] J. W. Darewych, M. Horbatsch, and R. Koniuk, Phys. Rev. D **28**, 1125 (1983).
  - [5] M. Warns, W. Pfeil, and H. Rollnik, Phys. Lett. B **258**, 431 (1991).

- [6] I. Guiasu and R. Koniuk, Phys. Rev. D **36**, 2757 (1987); M. Weyrauch, Phys. Rev. D **35**, 1574 (1987); S. Kumano, Phys. Lett. B **214**, 132 (1988); K. Bermuth, D. Drechsel, L. Tiator, and J. B. Seaborn, Phys. Rev. D **37**, 89 (1988); Y. Umino and F. Myhrer, Nucl. Phys. A **529**, 713 (1993); Y. Umino and F. Myhrer, Nucl. Phys. A **554**, 593 (1993); D. H. Lu, A. W. Thomas, and A. G. Williams, Phys. Rev. C **55**, 3108 (1997).
- [7] Y. Oh, Mod. Phys. Lett. A **10**, 1027 (1995); A. Abada, H. Weigel, and H. Reinhardt, Phys. Lett. B **366**, 26 (1996); T. Haberichter, H. Reinhardt, N. N. Scoccola, and H. Weigel, Nucl. Phys. A **615**, 291 (1997).
- [8] C. L. Schat, C. Gobbi, and N. N. Scoccola, Phys. Lett. B **356**, 1 (1995).
- [9] R. Bijker, F. Iachello, and A. Leviatan, Annals Phys. **284**, 89 (2000).
- [10] M. N. Butler, M. J. Savage, and R. P. Springer, Nucl. Phys. B **399**, 69 (1993).
- [11] R. F. Lebed and D. R. Martin, hep-ph/0404273.
- [12] D. B. Leinweber, T. Draper, and R. M. Woloshyn, Phys. Rev. D **48**, 2230 (1993).
- [13] CLAS Collaboration, S. Taylor *et al.*, hep-ex/0503014 v3, Phys. Rev. C **71**, 054609 (2005).
- [14] T. sato and T. -S. H. Lee, Phys. Rev. C **54**, 2660 (1996); D. H. Lu, A. W. Thomas, and A. G. Williams, Phys. Rev. C **55**, 3108 (1992).
- [15] S. Weinberg, Physica A **96**, 32 (1979).
- [16] A. Manohar and H. Georgi, Nucl. Phys. B **234**, 189 (1984).
- [17] E. J. Eichten, I. Hinchliffe, and C. Quigg, Phys. Rev. D **45**, 2269 (1992).
- [18] T. P. Cheng and Ling Fong Li, Phys. Rev. Lett. **74**, 2872 (1995).
- [19] H. Dahiya and M. Gupta, Phys. Rev. D **66**, 051501 (2002).
- [20] H. Dahiya and M. Gupta, Phys. Rev. D **67**, 114015 (2003).
- [21] T. P. Cheng and Ling Fong Li, Phys. Rev. D **57**, 344 (1998).
- [22] T. P. Cheng and Ling Fong Li, Phys. Rev. Lett. **80**, 2789 (1998).
- [23] X. Song, J. S. McCarthy, and H. J. Weber, Phys. Rev. D **55**, 2624 (1997); X. Song, Phys. Rev. D **57**, 4114 (1998).
- [24] T.J.Linde, T. Ohlsson, and Hakan Snellman, Phys. Rev. D **57**, 452 (1998).
- [25] T. P. cheng and Ling Fong Li, hep-ph/9811279 v1.
- [26] A. Le Yaouanc *et al.*, *Hadron Transitions in the Quark Model* (Gordon and Breach, New York, 1998).
- [27] L. A. Copley, G. Karl and E. Oberyk, Nucl. Phys. B **13**, 303 (1969); F. E. Close, *An Introduction to Quarks and Partons*(Academic Press, New York,1979).
- [28] F. E. Close, Zhenping Li, Phys. Rev. D **42**, 2194 (1990).
- [29] N. Isgur, G. Karl and R. Koniuk, Phys. Rev. Lett. **41**, 1269 (1978); R. Koniuk and N. Isgur, Phys. Rev. D **21**, 1868 (1980); N. Isgur and G. Karl, Phys. Rev. D **21**, 3175 (1980); N. Isgur, Phys. Rev. D **35**, 1665 (1987); P. Geiger and N. Isgur, Phys. Rev. D **55**, 299 (1997).
- [30] K. Hagwara *et al.*, Phys. Rev. D **66**, 010001 (2002).
- [31] J. Colas *et al.*, Nucl. Phys. B **91**, 253 (1975).
- [32] H. Burkhardt and J. Lowe, Phys. Rev. C **44**, 607 (1991).
- [33] R. Bertini, Nucl. Phys. B **279**, 49 (1987).
- [34] T. S. Mast *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **21**, 1715 (1968).
- [35] Review of Particle Properties, S. Eidelman *et al.*, Phys. Lett. B **592**, 1 (2004)